



lived in Atkinson" the Board was not surprised that many of the residents have lived here for a long time and quite a few were born here. The discussion evolved to question 14 and "why people moved to Atkinson" and that visual appearance was second to number one, which was location and Quality of Life was number 3. Julie mentioned that she was surprised that people were passionate about buffers around streams to help preserve the wildlife. Julie also noted that there was a big interest in having a town beach at Big Island Pond.

The Board discussed how to rank the survey on priorities and what should be done from the information compiled from the survey. Discussion on keeping the two high and two lows and dropping the neutrals. Todd would like to post the survey results on the Town website. The Board would like to have all the results listed but the discussion went on to how to list the comments and should they be listed. Julie would like to look and see if there is a pattern. Scott and Julie discussed how to extract the data and use it in Excel. Todd also expressed an interest in giving the results to the Board of Selectmen. Sue stated that she would like all departments to have a copy as it would help each department. Sue would like make a complete package before posting.

Julie to let Sue and the Board know what she has left of the Circuit Rider's Contract hours as well as Contracted hours. Sue informed the Board that at the November meeting there may be a lot line adjustment and another commercial vacant lot on Industrial Way. Sue wanted the Board to know that the budget ends on December 31, 2012. Discussion on if the left over monies would be encumbered. Discussion on how the Circuit Rider's contract was paid in 3 payments.

A question was asked on how Plaistow is ahead of Atkinson in grants for sidewalks. The Town Manager of Plaistow is full time and has grant writing experience. Plaistow has 7,609 residents but has more commercial zoning and they have 2x the operating budget. Julie stated that there were grants for bike trails available. There was a discussion that once the numbers are aggregated that they could be used for recommendations. A question was asked on how much was the survey and it was stated that it was done for the cost of the mailing and the meeting. Discussion on available grant monies and how best to use Julie's time. Discussion evolved into the age range that filled out the surveys and how ages 41-60 were the ones to fill out the survey and that 61-75 were the second. The Board wanted to find a way to have the 26-40 group to participate. The Board discussed that if sending the information home with the school notices or if through the PTA, sporting events and scouts. The Board compared the number of 26-40 y/o with voting and the survey. Julie suggested next time making the survey a one pager and thinking that more in that age bracket would fill it out.

The Board discussed that if questions were not answered that people were comfortable. That using the survey was a tool and a good guide to help with the Visioning chapter.

The Board discussed the planning agenda for the remainder of the year. This lead the Board to the Build out table error on the buildable land and if it was to be added to the Future Land Use chapter. The discussion then went into Conservation and prime wetland. The Board discussed the amount of open space and provisions of cluster development in the commercial/professional zones. The Board discussed open space access to the trails. There was discussion on incentive zoning in development. The discussion that there was no incentive as there is no natural gas, water, restaurants or sewer for builders to want to build professional clusters. The Board discussed whether to change the permitted use chart to expand the uses in the commercial industrial/commercial professional zoning. There was discussion about campuses and open space. Discussed on recreational trails, health clubs and walking trails.

Sue mentioned that there may be a public hearing before Jan 15th for any warrant articles. Scott and Julie are to work on getting results by the November 7th meeting via email. Sue will send Todd results but will wait until numbers are compiled.

Mike made a motion to adjourn the meeting. Paul seconded the motion and the Board voted in favor to adjourn.

The Planning Board meeting was adjourned at 8:56 p.m.

Respectfully submitted by Patty Power